1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 2 3 4	Jody Struck, SBN 121097 HAAPALA, THOMPSON & ABERN, LLP 1939 Harrison Street, Suite 800 Oakland, California 94612 Tel: 510-763-2324 Fax: 510-273-8534 E-mail: jstruck@htalaw.com	
5	Attorneys for Defendants COUNTY OF ALAM JON PETTIGREW, MICHELLE FINDLEY, M TEOFILO MARTINEZ-GOMEZ, and MICHAI	ELISSA RYAN, RENEE CAGE,
7	TEOTIEO WINTETH LE GOWLE, and WITCH A	DE I ORALESO
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – OAKLAND	
10	E.S., a minor, by and through her Guardian, LINDA SHANKS,	Case No.: 4:20-cv-04299-SBA
11 12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER [AS MODIFIED] TO CONTINUE SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE DATE
13	vs. COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, a public entity;	Judge: Hon. Saundra B. Armstrong Courtroom: TBD
14 15	LAUREL MANFREDI, an individual; JON PETTIGREW, an individual; MICHELLE FINDLEY, an individual; MELISSA RYAN, an individual PENER CACE on individual.	Settlement Conference Judge: Hon. Donna M. Ryu
16	an individual; RENEE CAGE, an individual; TEOFILO MARTINEZ-GOMEZ, an individual; MICHAEL FURNESS, an	
17	individual; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20	TO THE COURT ALL PARTIES AND	THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

The parties to this action, by and through their respective attorneys of record, stipulate and hereby request that the Court continue the current settlement conference date so that the parties can meaningfully prepare for the settlement conference with Magistrate Judge Ryu. The continuance is requested because counsel for Plaintiff and Defendants have had health issues which have affected their ability to prepare for the settlement conference. In addition, the parties recently obtained a continuance of the trial and pre-trial dates for a period of approximately ninety (90) days, so a continuance of the settlement conference is also appropriate. Accordingly, the parties, stipulate as follows:

1. P	laintiff's counsel Shawn McMillan's father suffered a serious brain injury. The
most significant	apparent consequence has been his loss of short-term memory. This situation
nas caused signi	ficant stress for Mr. McMillan and his family, including his mother. He has
tried to maintain	his current work habits and stay ahead of his workload, but it has become clear
that he needs to	provide him, and his mother, further assistance than he has been, at least on a
temporary basis.	Mr. McMillan is also concerned that, given the emotional distraction caused by
the situation, the	quality of his work may suffer significantly.

- 2. To compound the logistical difficulties Mr. McMillan's office is experiencing due to the above-described family obligations, Mr. McMillan and his entire household have recently tested positive for Covid -19. In addition, Stephen Daner, the only other attorney at Mr. McMillan's office has also tested positive. For the last part of December and the first half of January, the entire office was shut down. This caused a serious disruption in workflow and productivity.
- 3. Defense counsel Jody Struck had a total left hip replacement on December 15, 2021. She has had to spend considerable time in pre-operative medical appointments, hospitalization, and recovery, and is currently undergoing physical therapy two times each week into early March.
- 4. Under the circumstances, it has been difficult for counsel to analyze the voluminous documents and conduct the necessary discovery to prepare this case for trial. Plaintiff's counsel requested and defense counsel agreed to a continuance of the trial and pretrial dates. An order granting the stipulation and setting new dates was entered on January 19, 2022. (Dkt. No. 75.)
- 5. Following the continuance of the trial and pretrial dates, counsel met and conferred regarding a possible continuance of the Settlement Conference. Counsel and the parties agree that a Settlement Conference in July 2022, which is a continuance consistent with the new trial and pretrial dates, will allow the parties to take the necessary time to obtain and analyze the evidence necessary to properly evaluate this case before a Settlement Conference.
 - 6. There is currently no ADR compliance deadline in effect.

1	7. For the foregoing reasons, the parties stipulate and request that the Court vacate		
2	the current Settlement Conference date of April 1, 2022 and continue the Settlement Conference		
3	to July 2022 or as soon thereafter as the Court, counsel, and parties have a mutually available		
4	date. If the Court vacates the current Settlement Conference date, counsel request that the		
5	Court's clerk advise of available dates so that they can confirm their clients' availability.		
6	Dated: February 2, 2022 HAAPALA THOMPSON & ABERN, LLP		
7			
8	By: /s/ Jody Struck JODY STRUCK, Attorneys for Defendants		
9	JOD'I STROCK, Automeys for Defendants		
10	Dated: February 2, 2022 The Law Offices of Shawn A. McMillan, APC		
11			
12	By: /s/ Shawn A. McMillan SHAWN A. McMILLAN, Attorney for Plaintiff		
13	*Shawn A. McMillan provided consent for this document to be electronically filed.		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27 28			
40			
- 1	1		

Oakland, California 946

ORDER (AS MODIFIED

Pursuant to the Parties' stipulation and for good cause appearing:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Settlement Conference, currently set for April 1, 2022, at 10:00 a.m., be, and hereby is, vacated.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Settlement Conference will be rescheduled for July 1, 2022 at 1:00 p.m. Parties shall comply with the requirements and procedures set forth in this Court's Notice of Settlement Conference and Settlement Conference Order dated

November 3, 2021. [See Docket No. 73.]

IT IS SO ORDERED AS MODIFIED.

Dated: February 4, 2022

